

### **Progress, Performance and Scalability**

Patrick Scholz (Patrick.Scholz@awi.de), Dmitry Sidorenko, Sergey Danilov, Nikolay Koldunov





Energy Transfer in Atmosphere and Ocean, Topic S2: Improved parameterisations and numerics in climate models

ASSOCIATION

### EESOM 2.0 (Finite Volume)

### Finite Volum Sea Ice Ocean Model (FESOM2.0)

- Global triangular unstructured grid ocean sea-ice model, that solves the primitive equations under boussinesq approximation
- In FESOM2.0 switched from Finite-Elements to Finite-Volumes this allows for:
  - More efficient data structure due to the switch from tetrahedral elements (FESOM1.4) to prismatic elements (FESOM2.0)
  - $\rightarrow$  More efficient use of computational resources
  - $\rightarrow$  Clearly defined fluxes trough faces of the control volume
  - The possibility to introduce via <u>Arbitrary Lagrangian</u> <u>Eulerian</u> (<u>ALE</u>) approach plenty of different vertical discretisations





### FESOM 2.0 (Finite Volume)

#### **Placement of variables:**

- FESOM2.0 B-Grid (FESOM1.4 A-Grid)
- U,V horizontally defined at triangle centroids, in vertical placed at mid levels
- Scalar are defined at triangle vertices
- Scalar control volume formed by connecting cell centroids with edge mid-points
- Vector control volume formed by prisms based on mesh surface cells
- In the vertical all quantities except vertical velocity are placed at mid depth levels







# Implementation of ALE vertical coordinates

#### Why we want to use ALE ?

#### S. Danilov et al. 2017

FRR 181

- vertical levels can be fixed in time (Eulerian) or move with the flow (Lagrangian) or something in between
- has the potential to reduce unwanted spurious cross isopycnal mixing effects
- allows a variety of different vertical discretisations (linfs, zlevel, zstar, ztilde...)
- allows easy implementation of floating ice and partial bottom cells
- allows for the implementation terrain-following-sigma coord., VQS hybrid coord., isopycnal following coord.





Model Setup





#### Linear free surface

linfs



Freshwater flux can not be taken into account contributes by virtual salinity flux

#### Full (nonlinear) free surface



Freshwater flux directly affects the volume of the first layer and thus alternates salinity

6

SSOCIATION

Temperture bias of full free surface cases, zlevel and zstar, with respect to linfs (left + middle panel) and bias between zlevel and zstar(right panel, zstar-zlevel)



Mixed layer depth (MLD) of linfs and MLD differences of full free surface cases, zlevel and zstar, with respect to linfs and MLD differences between zlevel and zstar



FRR 181

Global (1<sup>st</sup> row), Atlantic (2<sup>nd</sup> row) and Pacific (3<sup>rd</sup> row) Meridional Overturning Circulation (MOC) for linfs, zlevel and zstar



9



#### Other features: Floating Sea Ice







#### Other features: Floating Sea Ice



#### Other features: Partial Cells



"real" bottom topography

#### Full bottom cell



#### "real" bottom topography Partial bottom cell





TRR 18'



#### Other features: Partial Cells



#### Why we use CVMIX ?

- Community Ocean Vertical Mixing Project (CVMIX) software package (https://github.com/CVMix)
- Provides transparent, robust, flexible, well documented Fortran source code for parameterizing vertical mixing in various ocean models (e.g. MPAS, MOM6, POP, MPIOM ...).

### What has been implemented from CVMIX ?

- Shear induces mixing of Pacanowski and Philander (1981) (cvmix\_PP)
- K-profile parameterization (cvmix\_KPP) of Large et al. (1994) (MOM6 style)
- tidally (cvmix\_TIDAL) induced mixing of Simmons et. al. (2004)





#### Temp. biases between fesom\_KPP, cvmix\_KPP, fesom\_PP and cvmix\_PP and WOA18







#### **Other via CVMIX implemented mixing schemes**

Turbulent-Kinetic-Energy (TKE) closure vertical mixing of Gaspar et al. (1990) + closure of internal wave energy (IDEMIX) of Olbers and Eden (2013) and Eden et al. (2014)

- TKE+IDEMIX is devolped by Eden and Olbers as part of the TRR181 Project -"Energy Transfer in Atmosphere and Ocean"
- Idea of TKE+IDEMIX: is to provide an energy consistent way to link diapycnal mixing with the internal wave field
- TKE+IDEMIX is yet not part of the CVMIX library but uses its infrastrucutre



FRR 181



#### TKE and IDEMIX









#### Hydrographic Biases



<u>21</u>















#### Performance and Scalability

影力





#### Throughput in simulated years per day (SYPD)

	_		
Mesh	FESOM2.0	FESOM1.4	
CORE2 ~1° resolution (~127K)	<b>191</b> (432 CPUs)	<b>62</b> (432 CPUs)	
Arctic 4.5km (~640K)	<b>59</b> (2304 CPUs)	<b>20</b> (2304 CPUs)	
<sup>1</sup> ⁄ <sub>4</sub> Rossby Radius with 2km cutoff (~22M)	<b>1</b> (14K CPUs)		
			FESOM2.0

Mesh	FESOM2.0	NEMO Prims et al. (2018)	
Global ¼°	<b>~5</b>	~ <b>3</b>	
resolution (~910K)	(512 CPUs)	(512CPUs)	

FESOM2.0 is able to compete with state of the art regular grided models!



Scholz et al. (2019), Koldunov et al. (2019) 25

### Outlook

#### Things to come

- Terrain following coordinates, vanishing quasi sigma (VQS) hybrid coordinates
- Higher order advection schemes for tracer
- Ocean kinetic energy backscatter parametrization
- Relaxation of layer thicknesses towards isopycnal layers
- Compute parts in single precission

#### <u>Summary</u>

- ALE components in FESOM2.0 are fully usable
- CVMIX is implemented into FESOM2.0
- FESOM2.0 and FESOM1.4 shows a comparable magnitude in biases
- FESOM2.0 is around 3 times faster than its predecessor and we are able to compete with state of the art regular gridded models



26









